Austell Intermediate School Data Inventory Jena Parish

			Jena i arisii			
Data Source	Content Area	Dates of	Students	Accessibility	Current Data	More Effective
		Collection	Assessed		Use	Use
Georgia CRCT	Reading; Language	April (results in	Grades 3, 4, 5	Principal; Teachers	Determine AYP;	Analyze to
	Arts; Math;	May)		are given classroom	Base classroom	determine
	Science; Social			scores	placement;	weaknesses and
	Studies				Promote	strengths within
					students to next	each domain;
					grade level or	Inform
					retain	instruction;
						Provide more
						detailed
						information to
						parents and
						teachers
ITBS	Reading; Language	September	Grades 3 & 5	Principal; Teachers	To show	Inform
	Arts; Math;			are given classroom	comparisons	instruction
	Science; Social			scores	between school,	
	Studies				district, and	
					other schools	
District	Math	End of Each	Grades 3 & 5	Teachers have	Informs math	Inform
Instructional Math		Quarter		access to the test	compacting	instruction; Use
Inventories (IMI)				through CCSD	rosters and	for item analysis
				Intranet; Data is	quarterly	to locate specific
				posted on Data Wall	tutoring sessions	strengths and
				in Math Office		weaknesses
Math Fact Fluency	Math	August,	Grades 2, 3, 4, 5	All teachers have	Determine if	Base scores to
		December, May		copy of assessment;	student is on	develop small
				Data is located on	grade level in	group fact

				SSP sheets on shared drive	math fact fluency; Review growth through out the year	fluency instruction; Provide instructional time each day for low students to complete FAST MATH(fact fluency program)
Quarterly Writing Prompts	Writing	Each of Each Quarter	Grades 2, 3, 4, 5	Grade Level Teachers Create Prompts; Data is located on SSP sheets on shared drive	Determine if student is proficient in all writing domains; Analyze scores for small group instruction and conferencing lesson	Inform team lesson planning; Determine what areas teachers may need additional training in
Statewide Writing Assessment	Writing	March	Grades 3 & 5	Principal; Teachers are given classroom scores	To show comparisons between school, district, and other schools; To determine students' strengths and weaknesses in writing	Inform team lesson planning; Determine what areas teachers may need additional training in
Guided Reading Levels	Reading	Weekly	Grades 2, 3, 4, 5 Latino/a	All teachers; Located on Data Wall in	To show which students are	Inform reading lesson planning;

			Students; EIP students	Literacy Offi	ce	below, on, or above reading level; To develop guided reading groups within the classroom and for pull out guided reading groups; To analyze weekly	Determine tutoring groups	
Running Records	Reading	August, December, May	Grades 2, 3, 4, 5	All teachers; Data posted on SSP on shared drive		Show student growth through out school year	Inform guided reading group lessons; Inform instruction	
	77.7		tudent-Level Infor		a a 11 a a t 2			
Race/Ethnicity	W	hat other student-lev Disability	vei information doe	s your school		Attendance		
English Proficiency		Retention/Promotion			Socioeconomic Status			
Number of Schools Attended (within county)		Siblings		Length of Time in USA (for ELL students)				
		Behavior Ratings		Parent Involvement Ratings				
Date Entered School Transic		Transient Rates	t Rates					
	Are there any other types of assessment data you would like to see your school collect and use to improve instruction? Quarterly District Reading Assessments (similar to Math IMI) Number of Schools Attended (Including outside of county)							

Field Experience Log/Reflection

Educational Leadership Department

Candidate:	Mentor/Title:	School/District:
John Smith	Mrs. Grant/Principal	Mabry Middle School/Cobb County
Assignment: School Improvement Plan (SIP) Analysis	Course: EDL 7100 Leadership Theory & Practice	Professor/Semester: Dr. Jones/Spring 2009

Date(s)	Activity/Time	PSC Standard, Element & BOR Strand, Element & NETS-A Standard, Element
SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE
4/18/09	Reviewed the School Improvement Plan to evaluate the vision, mission, and goals. [1 hour]	PSC 1C; BOR 7A; NETS-A 1A
4/20/09	Reviewed the School Improvement Plan to evaluate the Action Plan to determine strengths/weaknesses. [1 hour]	PSC 1C; BOR 5B; NETS-A 1B
4/22/09	Interviewed teachers and school leaders to determine the degree to which the School Improvement Plan is being implemented in the school. [2 hours]	PSC 2E; BOR 7D; NETS-A 4D
4/25/09	Composed a two-page analysis of the School Improvement Plan. [1.5 hours]	PSC 2E; BOR 7D; NETS-A 4D
	Total Hours: [5.5 hours]	

DIVERSITY								
(Place an X in the box representing the ethnic population(s) involved in this field experience.)								
Ethnicity	P-12 Faculty/Staff			P-12 Students				
	K-2	3-5	6-8	9-12	K-2	3-5	6-8	9-12
Race/Ethnicity:								
Asian								
Black								
Hispanic								
Native American/Alaskan Native								
White								
Multiracial								
Subgroups:								
Students with Disabilities								
Limited English Proficiency								
Eligible for Free/Reduced Meals								

CANDIDATE REFLECTIONS:

What did you learn about leadership from completing this field experience? How did this learning relate to the knowledge, skills and dispositions required of an educational leader? How did it enhance your ability to lead?

Did (or could) this field experience impact student learning? If so, how?

How could this field experience be modified to make it more meaningful and relevant to aspiring educational leaders?